







5

Abstract

Despite the cultural movement to extend the inclusive principles of trauma informed services to behavior supports and education, many of us lack the training or support to apply this idea, and have not yet acquired meaningful experience teaming with the many essential disciplines that make up a supportive environment after a student has been through trauma. At the same time, some of the practices we think of as "best" for other students, may be contraindicated for someone with a significant history of adverse childhood (or educational) experiences. This series aims to empower educators from all disciplines to understand some of the links between what students need after trauma, and how we can help, in a context rich with collaboration, risk mitigation practices, and an understanding of how past experiences can shape and inform current needs. Participants will be equipped with useful tools that may support our students with both significant and minor histories of trauma—and those in between, for whom a trauma history may be suspected but cannot be documented.











Case study: Trauma is suspected but not documented

Marco comes to school irregularly. His teachers are not sure why, but no one is really close to his family. They know one foster parent, but she has been told to not discuss his home life with too many people, as there are sensitive issues going on. He is brought to school by several different adults. He sometimes comes in acting very differently than he did the week before. His behavior is as erratic as his attendance; sometimes he "spaces out". He often avoids other children but sometimes he will fly off the handle and attack people walking by. He seems docile on other days but will have an out of proportion response to something in the environment when it's loud or chaotic, like in the lunchroom. He does not handle substitute teachers well and every holiday seems to have many meltdowns leading up to it.

Case study: Trauma is suspected but not documented

- What information can we gather?
- What tools could help?
- What techniques can we use?
- What supports and strengths can interdisciplinary teams bring?

HELPFUL INFORMATION TO GATHER

- What does the student avoid or find difficult? (consider IPASS for sensory stimuli; attention preference survey for attention)
- Which times of day/week/year/month are difficult?
- Information about behavior (lots of potentially trauma related behavior? Consider screening tool)
- Information about what is not working (some cues might be: parenting/caregiving techniques not working; praise causing adverse reaction; prompting results in emotional responding
- Information about the response itself (signs of conditioned responses to stimuli, nonoperant behavior)
- Clues about situations without knowing the details (e.g., we know a child went through several foster placements, or was adopted and given back, or has a parent with multiple challenges)







SAFE-T CHECKLIST Upon completion of the screening tool (previous page), if there are 5 or more items marked, or ONE of the highly risky items as determined by team, use the SAFE-T Checklist for additional intake information. This form can be used in multiple ways. Some teams use this to document existing concerns that members learn about through conducting a comprehensive file review, and other teams may elect to conduct interviews with members of the client's team if appropriate as part of re-assessment or a needs and risk assessment. (See Part 2 of this document for documenting risks and needs related to clusters • identified in the SAFE-T Checklist). **Section A. Professional Support** • Risk Follow Up Item ID Past Now • A1 Abuse or trauma survivor therapist R A2 Adoptive caseworker R A3 Behavior support by a behavior therapist or specialist A4 Behavior support by a Board Certified Behavior Analyst A5 CASA (Court Appointed Special Advocate) support R A6 Day program staff A7 Dentist **A8** Dietician A9 Drug abuse counselor R A10 Family therapy R A11 Foster care R A12 General education teacher A13 Individual counseling

PART 1 SAFE-T **Checklist with** ACES

- Complete if needed
- 200 items
- 6 Domains









Regular exercise

Enough sleep



Healthy diet



Stress relieving Techniques (can calm down)



Mental health care



Relationship with trusted adult

TRUSTED RELATIONSHIP

- * "SARA": Safe, Appropriate, Reliable, Available
- May be at home or at school, outside school (CASA example)
- Self-reported or observed (but reports can be wrong); should be corroborated by evidence
 - Student relaxes around person, approaches (as opposed to showing fear, avoiding eyes, increasing heart rate/ avoidance behaviors, etc)
 - Student uses relationship whether things are going ok or there was bad news (got a bad grade, has to move, etc)

COMMUNICATING ABOUT RISKS

10-Step RVB

(Sample Items in Risk Versus Benefit Analysis Template)

Introduction

- 1. Overview of the document
- Primary question the team is asking
 List of options being considered or potentially available, or list of risks and concerns being addressed, and options you have in addressing them

Option analysis

- 4. Describe Option A
- 5. List all potential risks given Option A (long-term risks, short-term risks; include section for each RISK TARGET
- List of potential benefits given Option A
- 7. Summary statement of risks for Option A

(Repeat option analysis (Steps 4-7) for options B, C, D, etc)

Conclusions

- 9. Additional concerns or notes
- 10. Overall recommendations for Risk Versus Benefit Analysis (e.g., if
- person(s) preparing the analysis recommends one path over another)
- 11. Team input and signatures

Basic Risk Mitigation Report Template

Info

- Client:
 Team members:
- Problem this plan is addressing:
- Date the RVB was reviewed with team:
- Option the team selected:

Plan

- Risk(s) addressed by this option:
- · Actions required to mitigate this risk:
- Person(s) responsible for actions:
- Additional resources required:
- Date to be completed:

Team communication

 Team initials for Risk Mitigation Plan (includes statement of agreement or nonagreement with plan, and place for each member to add input)

ΔΙΔ

COMMUNICATING ABOUT RISKS

Option 2: Marco is given a meeting preventively; behavior supports start now; new providers are educated on what to say/ not say; educators all trained on preventive supports, predicting increases in unsafe behaviors, safe responses to them

RISK V. BENEFIT ANALYSIS EXCERPT

Problem: Marco's foster family will not be able to keep
 him but told him they would; he is struggling at school
 Option 1: Marco stays in his current living situation and not told about the move until he moves, have Marco attend meeting the day before he

moves and start behavior support with new family after move

Potential short-term risks to client:

- Damaging mental health -> risk of hospitalization
 - Losing educational and social interaction opportunities
- increased behavior problems

Potential long-term risks to client:

- Lack of trust in team
- reactive moves by team due to predictable behavior concerns Potential short-term benefits to client:
 - Avoiding challenges temporarily in current foster home while placement is sought

What supports and strengths can interdisciplinary teams bring?

- Information about goals we need to target, but could miss because of our lack of expertise/ experience

- Supports from a systems perspective
- Listening and valuing all perspectives / a different perspective

- Naysayers often bring a very important group of risks to consider in the risk versus benefit analysis, but these may be dismissed as "worries or concerns that don't apply to us" if we don't

- Make a time to ask for them
- Show we value them
- Hear from everyone
- Document them
- And act on them

What supports and strengths can interdisciplinary teams bring? A few examples from my practice:

OT: sensory differences; ways to design supportive sensory environments, assess sensory needs and challenges, look at pain threshold

Mental health and social workers: safe place to hold the trauma- practice safe routines when it's not a challenging time; teach all team members how to support client in a crisis without re-presenting triggers; help differentiate whether a difficulty with mental health is part of a learning difference; help us learn about the client's past

SLP: teach us to design communication and speech/ language goals related to self advocacy needs the student may have after trauma- honor the person's communication attempts, meet them where they are- bring in technology to help minimize the effort a student has to exert during a difficult situation – buttons, sentence strips, visuals, etc

For behavioral team members: You can help us understand WHY this behavior now? We need this input in order to program for NEW behavior or strengthen the OLD

-"believing" the student and their -or "this may be a conditioned response for them" body, looking at all aspects of (for example) avoidance, not just operant or "this skill is too difficult for them", (the "they are getting something out of this interpretation"), but also... -or "there is not enough payoff for them considering this was once punished by their environment". "this is painful for them", -or "this is something that pays off for -or "this is something that was most people but at home the student's modeled for them". behavior is not reinforced because their parent is not available, or is not intact, or -or "this was once helpful; a survival is not able, or does not have the skill for them". resources, to do that" etc

Special thoughts for administrative team members:

Support the team! Back up team members who need to insure our ethics are followed and team's needs are met:

Protect time and space (and pay team members) for meetings

Follow guidelines set to protect the client (e.g., if there's a program that asks that attention not be provided after certain events, or insure that attention IS provided regularly, try to be a part of it, be the change you want to see, not the one disruptive team member)

Follow guidance or team leadership that gives pointers on how to speak to and about a client, or a parent/guardian, in their presence; know what behaviors to bring up (mention) in their presence, and topics to avoid (if the team doesn't igve you guidelines on this, ask- and team members, ask a leading member to MAKE guidelines to distribute)

Special thoughts for administrative team members: More on following clinical guidance

- Honoring everyone's need to provide input
- · Making medical recommendations even when those are not followed
- Establishing and honoring boundaries: Sometimes we need to draw a line in the sand (pause a certain treatment or something that is not safe to continue without knowing more, or getting someone training, or getting someone resources)
- **Connecting** us to other resources: If you can't facilitate training, but team desperately needs it to treat this new unsafe behavior or to understand this student, please honor expertise that is requesting that, and connect us to someone else who can help
- Working with the community: Grow and work relationships (you won't always have everything in house, but you may be able to facilitate a connection)



Some Features of a Trauma-Informed FBA





Possible Features of a Trauma-Informed Behavior Plan



*Buffering items are the 6 components that Nadine Burke Harris (2017) and others suggest can protect AFTER trauma; include adequate exercise, sleep, nutrition; good relationship; stress relieving skills; and mental health support

Notes on procedures that target appropriate repertoire development:

- Assess all procedures for risks/benefits, reducing contraindicated procedures.
- Select research based techniques.
- Consider missing skills (e.g., flexibility, defusion, social emotional skills, self advocacy, problem solving, correspondence between verbal behavior and actual events (e.g., "telling the truth" and "self-awareness"; see Dymond and Barnes (1997); tolerating appropriate demands. Some compatible and behavioral approaches or programs may include the following:
 - DNA-V (includes free resources on the developmental model acceptance and commitment therapy) <u>https://thrivingadolescent.com/dna-v-free-</u> resources/
 - □ TAPS/ (talk aloud problem solving; work by Joanne Robbins): https://talkaloudproblemsolving.com/
 - AIM/ work by Mark Dixon: https://www.acceptidentifymove.com/about
 - □ IISCA/ work by Greg Hanley: <u>https://practicalfunctionalassessment.com/</u>
 - Flexible and Focused (book by Adel Najdowski targeting executive functioning skills)





Some selected references and further reading

- Berard, Kerri P., Smith, Richard G. (2008). Evaluating a positive parenting curriculum package: An analysis of the acquisition of key skills. Research on Social Work Practice, 18 (5). 442-452.
- Fahmie, T.A., Iwata, B.A., & Mead, S.M. (2016). Within-subject analysis of a prevention strategy for problem behavior. Journal of Applied behavior Analysis, 49, 915-926. https://doi.org/10.1002/jaba.343
- Felitti, V. J., Anda, R. F., Nordenberg, D., Williamson, D. F., Spitz, A. M., Edwards, V.& Marks, J. S. (1998).
 Relationship of childhood abuse and household dysfunction to many of the leading causes of death in adults: The Adverse Childhood Experiences (ACE) Study. American journal of preventive medicine, 14(4), 245-258.
- Franks, Mata, Wofford, and Lazarte (2013). The effects of behavioral parent training on placement outcomes of biological families in a state child welfare system. Research on Social Work Practice, 23 (4), 377-382.
- Friman, P. C., Hayes, S. C., & Wilson, K. G. (1998). Why behavior analysts should study emotion: The example of anxiety. Journal of applied Behavior analysis, 31(1), 137-156.
- Frueh, B. C., Knapp, R. G., Cusack, K. J., et al. (2005). Special section on seclusion and restraint: Patients' reports of traumatic or harmful experiences within the psychiatric setting. Psychiatric Services, 56(9), 1123-1133.

Some selected references and further reading

- Frueh, B. C., Knapp, R. G., Cusack, K. J., et al. (2005). Special section on seclusion and restraint: Patients' reports of traumatic or harmful experiences within the psychiatric setting. Psychiatric Services, 56(9), 1123-1133.
- Golden, J. A. (2009). Introduction to a special issue on the assessment of children with reactive attachment disorder and the treatment of children with attachment difficulties or a history of maltreatment and/or foster care. Behavioral Development Bulletin, 15(1), 1-3
- LeBlanc, Heinicke, and Baker (2012). Expanding the consumer base for behavior-analytic services: Meeting the needs of consumers in the 21st century. Behavior analysis in practice. 5. 4-14
- Prather, W., & Golden, J. A. (2009). A behavioral perspective of childhood trauma and attachment issues: Toward alternative treatment approaches for children with a history of abuse. International Journal of Behavioral Consultation and Therapy, 5(1), 56-74
- Prather, W. (2007). Trauma and psychotherapy: Implications from a behavior analysis perspective. International Journal of Behavioral Consultation and Therapy, 3(4), 555-570

Some selected references and further reading • Rasmussen, K., & O'neill, R. E. (2006). The effects of fixed-time reinforcement schedules on problem behavior of

- Rasmussen, K., & O'neill, R. E. (2006). The effects of fixed-time reinforcement schedules on problem behavior of children with emotional and behavioral disorders in a day-treatment classroom setting. Journal of applied behavior analysis, 39(4), 453-457.
- Richman, Barnard-Brak, Bosch, and Abby (2015). Meta-analysis on noncontingent reinforcement effects on problem behavior. Journal of Applied behavior Analysis, 48 (1), 131-152
- Singh, Singh, Lancioni, Singh, Winton, and Adkins (2010). Mindfulness training for parents and their children with ADHD increases the children's compliance. Journal of Child and Family Studies, 19, 157-166

